

Probability Updating Competing Models

- Trial-by-trial learning (<u>sawtooth</u> changes):
- Every new sample from a population is used immediately to update a running estimate of the probability.

 $p_{t+1} = p_t + \alpha \delta$

- Change-point detection (*stepwise* changes):
- Estimates of probability are held over many samples. Updating only occurs when enough evidence accumulates that conditions have changed.

 $PostOdds = BF \times PriorOdds = \frac{\text{MML} (M_1 \mid \mathbf{D}, \pi(\theta \mid M_1))}{\text{MML} (M_0 \mid \mathbf{D}, \pi(\theta \mid M_0))} \times \frac{p(M_1)}{p(M_0)},$

Motor Cost Confound? (Forsgren et al 2020)

Providing participants a default probability estimate (previous response) has predisposed them to update infrequently (i.e. <u>stepwise updating</u>)



References

- Gallistel, C. R., Krishan, M., Liu, Y., Miller, R., & Latham, P. E. (2014). The perception of probability. *Psychological Review*, 121(1), 96.
- Forsgren, M., Juslin, P., & van den Berg, R. (2020). Further perceptions of probability: in defence of trial-by-trial updating models. BioRxiv, 2020-01.

Stepwise Probability Estimation Updating not Fully Explained by Motor Cost

Julia Schirmeister (jschirme@uwaterloo.ca), Britt Anderson





Intentional <u>Stepwise</u> Probability Estimation Participant with no default response meticulously maintains estimates



Summary of Results

- Vast individual differences in updating frequencies
- Removing the motor-cost confound did not completely abolish stepwise behavior





